Cu	H	NO
	1 19	TAO 1

Data collection	
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4	$\theta_{\rm max} = 23^{\circ}$
diffractometer	$h = 0 \rightarrow 16$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$k = 0 \rightarrow 6$
Absorption correction:	$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$
none	3 standard reflections
1716 measured reflections	frequency: 120 min
1683 independent reflections	intensity decay: <1%
824 observed reflections	
$[F > 4\sigma(F)]$	
Refinement	
	1/F 2/F

Refinement on F	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.000116F^2]$
R = 0.0664	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.026$
wR = 0.0633	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.287 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 2.7130	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.229 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
824 reflections	Atomic scattering fac-
154 parameters	tors from SHELX76
All H-atom parameters	(Sheldrick, 1976)
refined	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalentisotropic displacement parameters (Å2)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

х	у	Ζ	U_{eq}
0.4275 (3)	-0.8174 (10)	0.8124 (3)	0.137 (2
0.4157 (3)	0.1207 (7)	0.1614 (3)	0.076 (2
0.4083 (5)	0.2737 (12)	0.0758 (5)	0.088 (3
0.3437 (5)	0.4575 (13)	0.0592 (6)	0.095 (3
0.2829 (5)	0.4870 (12)	0.1341 (5)	0.093 (3
0.2883 (4)	0.3320(11)	0.2226 (5)	0.079 (2
0.3549 (3)	0.1529 (9)	0.2347 (4)	0.067 (2
0.3629 (3)	-0.0157 (9)	0.3262 (4)	0.071 (2
0.3678 (3)	-0.1591 (10)	0.3991 (4)	0.075 (2
0.3744 (3)	-0.3337 (11)	0.4796 (4)	0.088 (2
0.3793 (3)	-0.4890 (13)	0.5448 (5)	0.106 (3
0.3873 (6)	-0.7073 (16)	0.6253 (6)	0.116 (4
0.4294 (6)	-0.6120 (17)	0.7308 (6)	0.123 (4
	x 0.4275 (3) 0.4157 (3) 0.4083 (5) 0.2829 (5) 0.2883 (4) 0.3549 (3) 0.3629 (3) 0.3678 (3) 0.3744 (3) 0.3793 (3) 0.3793 (6) 0.4294 (6)	$\begin{array}{cccc} x & y \\ 0.4275 (3) & -0.8174 (10) \\ 0.4157 (3) & 0.1207 (7) \\ 0.4083 (5) & 0.2737 (12) \\ 0.3437 (5) & 0.4575 (13) \\ 0.2829 (5) & 0.4870 (12) \\ 0.2829 (5) & 0.4870 (12) \\ 0.2829 (3) & -0.0157 (9) \\ 0.3629 (3) & -0.0157 (9) \\ 0.3678 (3) & -0.1591 (10) \\ 0.3744 (3) & -0.3337 (11) \\ 0.3793 (3) & -0.4890 (13) \\ 0.3873 (6) & -0.7073 (16) \\ 0.4294 (6) & -0.6120 (17) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

NC(1)	1.327 (6)	C(6)	C(7)	1 177 (6)
NC(5)	1.345 (5)	C(7)	C(8)	1 359 (8)
C(1) - C(2)	1.371 (7)	C(8)	C(9)	1 154 (7)
C(2) - C(3)	1.357 (7)	C(9)	C(10)	1.533 (9)
C(3) - C(4)	1.363 (7)	C(I))-	$-\dot{\mathbf{C}}(1)$	1 454 (8)
C(4) - C(5)	1.370 (6)	0 – C (1	1)	1,495 (8)
C(5)—C(6)	1.436 (6)	()	/	11.70 (0)
C(1) - N - C(5)	116.3 (5)	C(4)	C(5)C(6)	121.7 (5)
N - C(1) - C(2)	124.1 (6)	C(5)	C(6) - C(7)	177.9 (5)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	118.7 (6)	C(6)	C(7)—C(8)	177.1 (5)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	118.7 (7)	C(7)	C(8)-C(9)	177.3 (6)
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	119.7 (6)	C(8)	C(9) - C(10)	176.1 (7)
NC(5)C(4)	122.6 (5)	C(9)	C(10) - C(11)	106.5 (7)
NC(5)C(6)	115.7 (5)	0C((1)C(10)	106.5 (7)
DHA	<i>р</i> —н	HA	D A	D_HA
$O - H(O) \cdot \cdot \cdot N^{i}$	0.90 (8)	1.95 (8)	2.807 (6)	157 (8)
Symmetry code: (i) $1 - x_1 - 1 - y_2 - 1 - z_3$				

Preliminary photographic studies on the crystal using rotation, Weissenberg and precession cameras revealed the approximate unit-cell dimensions and the reflection conditions h0l for h = 2n and h00 for h = 2n, which indicated a uniquely defined centrosymmetric monoclinic space group $P2_1/a$ (C_{2h}^5) . The independent reflections were collected using an ω -scan width of $(0.8 + 0.35 \tan \theta)^\circ$. During the data collection, one orientation reflection ($80\overline{1}$) was monitored every 200 reflections and the three standard reflections, ($\overline{7}2\overline{3}$), ($80\overline{2}$) and $(\bar{4}\bar{3}\bar{4})$, varied by less than 1%. Intensity data were corrected for Lp factors with the program *SDP* (Enraf-Nonius, 1985). An asymmetric unit (Suh *et al.*, 1993) of X-ray intensity data were collected. *SDP* was used for data collection, cell refinement and data reduction. The structure was solved by direct methods using *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1985). The *SHELX*76 program (Sheldrick, 1976) was used for full-matrix least-squares refinement with anisotropic displacement factors for all the non-H atoms. All H-atom positions was found from difference Fourier syntheses and refined isotropically. Geometric calculations were carried out using the *GEOM* program (Gilmore, 1979).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates, together with extra unit-cell packing diagrams, have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KH1007). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Barrow, M. J., Milburn, G. H. W. & Zeng, Z. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 650–652.
- Baughman, R. H. (1974). J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Phys. Ed. 12, 1511– 1535.
- Enraf-Nonius (1985). Structure Determination Package. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Gilmore, C. J. (1979). GEOM. Program for the Calculation of Molecular and Crystal Geometry. Univ. of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Suh, I. H., Kim, K. J., Choo, G. H., Lee, J. H., Choh, S. H. & Kim, M. J. (1993). Acta Cryst. A49, 369–371.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1418-1420

α -Naphthoflavone[†]

KALIYAMOORTHY PANNEERSELVAM AND MANUEL SORIANO-GARCÍA

Instituto de Química, Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, Delegación Coyoacán, México DF 04510, México

(Received 23 November 1994; accepted 13 January 1995)

Abstract

The crystal structure of the title compound, 2-phenyl-4H-naphtho[1,2-*b*]pyran-4-one, C₁₉H₁₂O₂, is stabilized by both intra- and intermolecular C—H···O hydrogen bonds.

† Contribution No. 1296 of the Instituto de Química, UNAM.

Comment

The crystal and molecular structure of the title compound (1) was first reported by Rossi & Glusker (1979) without atomic coordinates. The present work describes the structure determination and crystal packing in detail.



Bond distances and angles within the molecule are quite regular with average C-C distances in the phenyl and naphthyl rings of 1.384(3) and 1.393(3) Å, respectively. The phenyl and benzopyrone rings are planar with maximum deviations of 0.007 and 0.025 Å, respectively. The interplanar angle between the phenyl ring (C1–C6) and the benzopyrone ring (O1, C7–C13, C18– C19) is $24.55(9)^{\circ}$. The conformation of the molecule is similar to those of some related flavone structures described in the literature (Shoja, 1994; Neuman et al., 1989). The O atom O1 is involved in two intramolecular hydrogen bonds: one with atom H6 [C6...O1 2.737 (2), H6···O1 2.43 (2) Å, C6—H6···O1 98 (1)°] and the second with atom H17 [C17 $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ O1 2.778(2), H17...O1 2.47 (2) Å, C17—H17...O1 99 (1)°]. Also, the carbonyl atom O2 participates in one intramolecular [C11...O2 2.873 (3), H11...O2 2.57 (2) Å, C11-H11...O2 98(1)°] and two intermolecular hydrogen bonds $[C3\cdots O2(x-\frac{1}{2}, y, \frac{3}{2}-z) 3.308(3), H3\cdots O2$ 2.51(3) Å, C3—H3···O2 139(2)°; C16···O2(x- $\frac{1}{2},$ $\frac{1}{2} - y$, 1 - z) 3.556 (3), H16···O2 2.60 (2) Å, C16 H̃16···O2 163 (2)°] (Desiraju, 1991).







Fig. 2. A perspective drawing of the packing arrangement.

Experimental

Crystals of the title compound were obtained from a benzene solution of the commercially available material by slow evaporation of the solvent.

Crystal data

$C_{19}H_{12}O_2$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 272.29$	$\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$
Orthorhombic	Cell parameters from 25
Pbca	reflections
a = 14.788 (4) Å	$\theta = 15-45^{\circ}$
b = 7.470(2) Å	$\mu = 0.696 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 24.188 (4) Å	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 2672.0(11) \text{ Å}^3$	Needle
Z = 8	$0.3 \times 0.15 \times 0.1$ mm
$D_x = 1.354 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Pale yellow

Data collection P4 diffractometer $\theta_{\rm max} = 56.60^{\circ}$ $h = -1 \rightarrow 16$ $\theta/2\theta$ scans $k = -1 \rightarrow 8$ Absorption correction: $l = -26 \rightarrow 1$ none 3 standard reflections 2382 measured reflections 1769 independent reflections 1487 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.0267$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 R(F) = 0.0399 $wR(F^2) = 0.1034$ S = 1.1121769 reflections 238 parameters All H-atom parameters refined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0462P)^2]$ + 0.5380P] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.123 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.185 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4

monitored every 100

intensity decay: 2%

reflections

 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	Z	U_{eq}
Cl	-0.03036 (13)	0.1485 (3)	0.67626 (7)	0.0426 (5)
C2	-0.0407 (2)	0.0483 (3)	0.72444 (8)	0.0552 (6)
C3	-0.1144 (2)	0.0748 (4)	0.75841 (10)	0.0645 (7)
C4	-0.1780 (2)	0.2022 (4)	0.74550 (10)	0.0662 (7)
C5	-0.1689 (2)	0.3031 (4)	0.69802 (10)	0.0624 (7
C6	-0.09588 (14)	0.2745 (3)	0.66298 (9)	0.0518 (6
C7	0.04832 (13)	0.1191 (3)	0.64003 (7)	0.0402 (5
C8	0.12805 (13)	0.0491 (3)	0.65484 (8)	0.0462 (5
C9	0.19999 (14)	0.0180 (3)	0.61580 (8)	0.0446 (5
C10	0.17788 (12)	0.0676 (3)	0.55896 (7)	0.0402 (5
C11	0.23877 (14)	0.0361 (3)	0.51489 (8)	0.0486 (6
C12	0.21800 (14)	0.0840 (3)	0.46266 (9)	0.0515 (6
C13	0.13430 (13)	0.1676 (3)	0.45022 (8)	0.0436 (5
C14	0.1110 (2)	0.2213 (3)	0.39597 (8)	0.0516 (6
C15	0.0293 (2)	0.2977 (3)	0.38491 (9)	0.0544 (6
C16	-0.0333 (2)	0.3254 (3)	0.42700 (8)	0.0503 (6
C17	-0.01359 (14)	0.2764 (3)	0.48018 (8)	0.0431 (5
C18	0.07033 (12)	0.1979 (2)	0.49290 (7)	0.0369 (5
C19	0.09558 (12)	0.1444 (2)	0.54715 (7)	0.0360 (5
01	0.03107 (8)	0.1720 (2)	0.58699 (5)	0.0420 (4
O 2	0.27408 (9)	-0.0452 (2)	0.62897 (6)	0.0613 (5

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

	•	-	
C1—C6	1.388 (3)	C10-C19	1.375 (3)
C1—C2	1.393 (3)	C10-C11	1.415 (3)
C1—C7	1.473 (3)	C11-C12	1.348 (3)
C2—C3	1.380 (3)	C12-C13	1.419 (3)
C3—C4	1.374 (4)	C13C14	1.415 (3)
C4—C5	1.380 (4)	C13-C18	1.418 (3)
C5—C6	1.389 (3)	C14-C15	1.363 (3)
C7—C8	1.338 (3)	C15-C16	1.391 (3)
C7—O1	1.367 (2)	C16-C17	1.368 (3)
C8—C9	1.441 (3)	C17-C18	1.407 (3)
C9—O2	1.235 (2)	C18-C19	1.422 (3)
C9C10	1.461 (3)	C19-01	1.371 (2)
C6C1C2	118.8 (2)	C11-C10-C9	121.6 (2)
C6—C1—C7	121.0 (2)	C12-C11-C10	121.1 (2)
C2—C1—C7	120.2 (2)	C11-C12-C13	120.9 (2)
C3—C2—C1	120.5 (3)	C14-C13-C18	117.9 (2)
C4—C3—C2	120.3 (2)	C14-C13-C12	122.3 (2)
C3—C4—C5	120.0 (2)	C18-C13-C12	119.8 (2)
C4—C5—C6	120.0 (3)	C15-C14-C13	121.1 (2)
C1—C6—C5	120.4 (2)	C14-C15-C16	120.6 (2)
C8C7O1	121.9 (2)	C17—C16—C15	120.4 (2)
C8—C7—C1	126.5 (2)	C16-C17-C18	120.3 (2)
01—C7—C1	111.6 (2)	C17-C18-C13	119.7 (2)
C7—C8—C9	122.5 (2)	C17-C18-C19	123.4 (2)
02—C9—C8	123.2 (2)	C13-C18-C19	116.9 (2)
O2—C9—C10	122.6 (2)	O1-C19-C10	122.2 (2)
C8C9C10	114.2 (2)	O1-C19-C18	115.0 (2)
C19—C10—C11	118.4 (2)	C10-C19-C18	122.8 (2)
C19-C10-C9	119.9 (2)	C7-01C19	119.1 (1)

Data collection: P4 diffractometer software. Cell refinement: XSCANS (Siemens, 1991). Data reduction: XS-CANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1990). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

KP acknowledges the CONACYT (Cátedra Patrimonial Nivel II) for fellowship assistance. We thank the Instituto de Biotecnología, UNAM, for data collection. Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry, including H-atom geometry, have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KA1111). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Desiraju, G. R. (1991). Acc. Chem. Res. 24, 290-296.
- Neuman, P. A., Becquart, J., Gillier, B. H., Leroux, Y., Queval, P. & Moretti, J. L. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1966–1970.
- Rossi, M. & Glusker, J. (1979). Am. Crystallogr. Assoc. Ser. 2, 6, p. 69.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger & R. Goddard, pp. 175–189. Oxford Univ. Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL-Plus Structure Determination Software Programs. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shoja, M. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 771-773.
- Siemens (1991). XSCANS Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1420-1423

Pair Stacking of 10-(4-Nitrophenyl)-5(10H)phenazinyl Radicals

CLAUS KRIEGER, GUNFRIED PERAUS AND FRANZ A. NEUGEBAUER

Abt. Organische Chemie, Max-Planck-Institut fur medizinische Forschung, Jahnstraße 29, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

(Received 4 November 1994; accepted 18 January 1995)

Abstract

The title radicals, $C_{18}H_{12}N_3O_2.0.5C_6H_6$, are arranged in radical pairs along the *a* axis with specific overlap of the π systems, leading to short intermolecular contacts between atoms with large spin populations.

Comment

Like Wurster's blue radical cation salts (Hausser, 1956; Yamauchi & Fujita, 1990), 10-ethyl-5(10*H*)-phenazinyl, (1) (McIlwain, 1937) shows an anomalous temperature (*T*) dependence of the static magnetic susceptibility (χ) in the solid state, with χT falling off with decreasing temperature (Hausser 1956; Serafimov & Zimmermann, 1972). Therefore, crystal structures of 5(10*H*)-phenazinyl radicals, which can provide some insight into this phenomenon, are of particular interest. Recently, we succeeded in determining the crystal